

अपार शक्तेः स्त्रौतः गंगेयम् Technical Memorandum No. 93 T.R. (MT₁ - 129)

PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL TESTS OF AGGREGTAE (COARSE & FINE), CEMENT, FLY ASH, AND CHEMICAL ADMIXTURE FOR CONVENTIONAL CONCRETE MIX DESIGN OF JAMRANI DAM



IRRIGATION RESEARCH INSTITUTE ROORKEE – 247667(UTTARAKHAND) INDIA

(An ISO 9001:2008 Certified Organisation) Website: www.iriroorkee.res.in



PREM SINGH PANWAR

Chief Engineer Level-1 (Design) & Director

ROORKEE

FEBRUARY 2023

IRRIG	Test Rep	ort	
Ri Ri Ri ROO	RKEE-247 667 (UTTARAKHAND)	T.M NO. 93 - TR {MT ₁ -129}	
(ISO	Type of Public	ation 'C'	
CHIEF ENGINEER DES DIRECTOR	IGN & : PREM SINGH PANWAR	Image: margin black 01332-25 Fax 01332-262	7501(O) 2487
SUPERINTENDING EN	GINEER : SHANKAR KUMAR SAHA	Tax 01332-25 01332-26 01332-26	7507 2487
TITLE OF THE REPO	RT	Month of Issue	February 2023
Design of Concrete Mix	for Construction of Jamrani Dam, at Gola	No. of Pages	11
River, Haldwani, Distt. N	lainital, Uttarakhand.	No. of Table	12
		No. of Annexure	-
PROJERCT SPONSOF	SPONSOR'S REF	ERENCE	
Executive Engineer Jam	rani Dam Construction Division 2	Letter No: 10/PM -2	/P.I.U.J/
Damuadhunga Haldwan	Nainital	Design Mix, dated 2	6.03.2022.
	, rvanntar.	CODE OF ESTIM	ATE :6156
RESEARCH PERSON	NEL		
Bijendra Pal	: Research Officer		
Md. Hamid Hassan	: Assistant Engineer		
Vinod Kumar	: Research Supervisor		
Priya Semwal	: Research Supervisor		
Sachin Kumar	: Model Assistant		
Parul	: Model Assistant		

SYNOPSIS:

1

Conventional concrete mix design study of Jamrani dam multipurpose drinking water project was carried out in laboratory as per IS 10262:2019 and IS 456:2000 (Reaffirmed 2021). In order to check the physical and chemical properties of all the ingredients used in concrete mix design viz. cement, fly ash, chemical admixture coarse aggregate and fine aggregate were tested in accordance with Indian Standards 4031:1999 (Reaffirmed – 2018), 4032:1985 (Reaffirmed – 2019), 6925:1973 (Reaffirmed - 2018), 9103:1999 (Reaffirmed - 2018), and 2386:1963 (Reaffirmed - 2021) respectively, prior to mix design of concrete. Test results of the ingredients are shown in this report in Table 1 - 12.

Checked & Submitted by

(Md. Hamid Hassan) Assistant Engineer Recommended by

28/2/2023

(Bijendra Pal) Research Officer

Approved by

(Shankar Kumar Saha) Superintending Engineer

 $T.M.No.93.T.R.(MT_1 - 129)$

Table - 1

Physical and Chemical Properties of Admixture.

Sl. No.	Admixture	Particulars	Results	Conformity As per IS 9103- 1999 (Reaffirmed 2018)
1		Dry material Content (%)	16.0	Within 3 % of the value stated by the manufacturer i.e., 15
2	NDROCRETE S	Relative Density	1.05	Within 0.02 % of the value stated by the manufacturer i.e., 1.070
3	*BROCKETES 888" Water reducer	pH Value	7.37	Within ±1 of the value stated by Manufacturer stated value, i.e., 6 -8.5
4	(FAIR MATE)	Ash Content (%)	4.75	-
5		Chloride ion content (%)	0.007	Within 10 % of the value or within 0.2 % whichever is greater as stated by the manufacturer i.e., .01

Pool .

- mg

(Parul) Model Assistant

(Priya Semwal) Research Supervisor

(Vinod Kumar)

(Vinod Kumar) Research Supervisor

ud

(Md. Hamid Hassan) Assistant Engineer-4

Physical Properties of Cement

Sl.	Name of Test Performed	Unit	Result	Conformity Criteria as per IS:
No.				269 -2015 (Realifi med 2020)
1	Standard Consistency	%	28.5	
2.	Fineness	m²/kg	285	Not less than 225 m /kg
	(Blaine's air Permeability			
	method)			
3.	Soundness	mm	1.0	Not more than 10 mm
	(Le- Chatelier method)			
4.	Specific Gravity	-	3.05	
5.	Initial Setting Time	minute	110	Not less than 30 minutes
6.	Final Setting Time	minute	205	Not More than 600 minutes
7.	Compressive	MPa	26.0	Min. 23 MPa
	(Strength 72±1 hour)			
8.	Compressive Strength	MPa	35.6	Min. 33 MPa
	(168±2 hour)			
9.	Compressive Strength	MPa	44.7	Min. 43 MPa.
	(672±4 hour)			
10.	Heat of hydration	KJ/kg	195	
	(7 Days)			
11.	Heat of hydration	KJ/kg	453	
	(28 Days)			

Roy .

(Parul) Model Assistant

(Priya Semwal) Research Supervisor

(Vinod Kumar) Research Supervisor

(Md. Hamid Hassan) Assistant Engineer-4

Table – 3

Chemical Analysis of Cement

SI.	Name of the test	Result	Conformity Criteria as per IS:	
No.			269 -2015 (Reaffirmed - 2020)	
1	Insoluble Residue (%)	4.32	< 5	
2	Calcium Oxide (CaO) (%)	49.82	-	
3	Silica (SiO ₂) (%)	16.97	-	
4	Aluminum Oxide (Al ₂ O ₃) (%)	9.22	-	
5	Ferric Oxide (Fe ₂ O ₃) (%)	11.21	-	
6	Magnesia as Oxide (%)	1.19	< 6	
7	Chloride Content (%)	0.0089	< 0.1	
8	Sulphuric Anhydride (SO3) (%)	2.14	< 3.5	
9	Alkali Content (%)	0.36	< 1.5	
10	Ratio of percentage of Lime to percentages of silica. Alumina, and Iron Oxide when	0.73	0.66 to 1.02	
	calculated by the formula. (%)			
	CaO -0.7 SO ₃			
	$2.8 \operatorname{Sio}_2 + 1.2 \operatorname{Al}_2 \operatorname{O}_3 + 0.65 \operatorname{Fe}_2 \operatorname{O}_3$			
11	Ratio of percentage of alumina (Al ₂ O ₃) to that a firm parido (Eq. Q.) ($^{(2)}$)	0.82	> 0.66	
	that of from oxide (re_2O_3) (%)			
12	Loss on Ignition (%)	3.72	< 5	

(Parul) Model Assistant

Kr19

(Priya Semwal) Research Supervisor

(Vinod Kumar) Research Supervisor

Amid

(Md. Hamid Hassan) Assistant Engineer-4

TABLE - 4

Physical Properties of Fly Ash

SI.	Name of Test	Unit	Test Result	Specification as per
No.				IS: 3812 (Part-1) -2013
1.	Specific Gravity		2.25	
2.	Fineness			
	By Blaine's air Permeability method	m²/kg	328	>320
	Wet Sieving on 45µ	%	25.0	< 34
3.	Lime Reactivity	MPa	5.8	> 4.5
4.	Compressive Strength			
(a)	7 Days	MPa	16.2	-
(b)	28 Days		25.2	> 22.9

Table - :

Chemical Analysis of Fly Ash

Sl.	Name of the test	Result	Conformity as per IS Code
No.		(%)	IS:3812 (Part 1): 2013
1	Loss on Ignition	2.18	< 5
2	Silicon dioxide (SiO ₂)	69.61	> 35
3	Aluminum Oxide (Al ₂ O ₃)	18.26	-
4	Iron Oxide (Fe ₂ O ₃)	3.99	-
5	Silicon dioxide (SiO_2) + Aluminum Oxide (Al_2O_3) + Iron	91.86	>70
	Oxide (Fe_2O_3)		
6	Calcium Oxide (CaO)	2.07	-
7	Magnesium Oxide (MgO)	2.16	< 5
8	Sulphuric Anhydride (SO3)	0.45	< 3

Part

(Parul) **Model Assistant**

(Priya Śemwal) **Research Supervisor**



(m (Md. Hamid Hassan) **Assistant Engineer-4**

ul l

Sieve Analysis of Fine Aggregate

Sl. No.	IS Sieve (mm)	Percentage Retained on IS Sieve (%)	Percentage Passing (%)	Conformity Criteria for Grading Zone II as per IS: 383-2016
1	10	0.0	100.0	100
2	4.75	0.0	100.0	90-100
3	2.36	10.2	89.8	75-100
4	1.18	21.8	78.2	55-90
5	0.60	45.6	54.4	35-59
6	0.30	71.0	29.0	08-30
7	0.15	92.0	8.2	0-10
8	Fineness Modulus		2.41	

Type of Aggregate: - Natural



(Parul)

(Parul) Model Assistant (Priya Semwal) Research Supervisor (Vinod Kumar) Research Supervisor

TABLE – 7

Sieve Analysis for Coarse Aggregate of 80 mm (Single Sized)

Type of aggregate: - Crushed

SI. No	Sieve Size (mm)	Percentage Passing (%)	Conformity Criteria as per IS 383:2016 Percentage Passing for single – sized Aggregate of Nominal Size
1	80	100	90 - 100
2	40	50.3*	0 - 10

*Value is not as per Indian Standard.



Sachinkumas

Model Assistant

(Sachin Kumar)

(Priya Semwal) Research Supervisor

(Vinod Kumar) Research Supervisor

(Md. Hamid Hassan) Assistant Engineer-4

Sieve Analysis for Coarse Aggregate of 40 mm (Single Sized)

Type of aggregate: - Crushed

SI. No	Sieve Size (mm)	Percentage Passing (%)	Conformity Criteria as per IS 383:2016 Percentage Passing for single – sized Aggregate of Nominal Size
1	63	100.0	100
2	40	95.6	85 - 100
3	20	2.2	0 - 20
4	10	0.0	0 - 5



Sachin kumar (Sachin Kumar) Model Assistant

(Priya Semwal) Research Supervisor (Vinod Kumar) Research Supervisor

Sieve Analysis for Coarse Aggregate of 20 mm (Single Sized)

Type of aggregate: - Crushed

SI. No	Sieve Size (mm)	Percentage Passing (%)	Conformity Criteria as per IS 383:2016 Percentage Passing for single – sized Aggregate of Nominal Size
1	40	100.0	100
2	20	87.9	85 - 100
			0 - 20
3	10	22.8	
4	4.75	0.0	0 - 5



Sachinkumar (Sachin Kumar)

Model Assistant

(Priya Semwal) Research Supervisor (Vinod Kumar) Research Supervisor

Table – 10

Sieve Analysis for Coarse Aggregate of 10 mm (Single Sized) Type of aggregate: - Crushed

SI. No	Sieve Size (mm)	Percentage Passing (%)	Conformity Criteria as per IS 383:2016 Percentage Passing for single – sized Aggregate of Nominal Size
1	12.5	77.9*	100
2	10	48.9*	85 - 100
3	4.75	3.2	0 - 20
4	2.36	0.0	0 - 5

*Value is not as per Indian Standard.



(Sachin Kumar) Model Assistant

(Priya Semwal) Research Supervisor (Vinod Kumar) Research Supervisor

(Md. Hamid Hassan)

Table - 11Physical Properties of Coarse Aggregate

	None	Aggregate Twee	Result	Conformity Criteria as per IS
SI. No	Name of 1 est	Aggregate Type	IXESUIT	383: 2016
			1.80	Max 3.0%
1	Material Finer	Fine Aggregate	1.80	
1	than 75 micron			_
2	Water Absorption	Fine Aggregate	1.25	100/ for uperushed or crushed
3	Combined	40 mm	46.7*	< 40% for uncrusing of the
4	Flakiness &	20 mm	25.6	aggregate. However, and
	Elongation	10 mm	45.9*	Engineer-in-Charge at his
	(%)			discretion may relax the mint
	(70)			keeping in view the requirement,
5				and availability of aggregates and
				performance based on tests on
				concrete.
		40 mm	0.2	< 1.00 %
6	Clay Lumps (%)	40 mm	0.7	
7		20 mm	0.0	_
8		10 mm	0.9	2122
9	Specific Gravity	40 mm	2.93	
10		20 mm	2.45	
11		10 mm	2.45	
12		Fine aggregate	2.64	
13	Bulk Density	40 mm	1.410	-
14	(Loose)	20 mm	1.430	
15	(Kg/m ³)	10 mm	1.350	
16		Fine aggregate	1.680	
17	Bulk Density	40 mm	1.540	-
17	(Compacted)	20 mm	1.540	-
10	(Kg/m ³)	10 mm	1.440	
19	(126/111)	Fine accreate	1 770	
20		r me aggregate	1.//0	

Sachin kumar

(Sachin Kumar) Model Assistant (Priya Semwal) Research Supervisor



H

21	Void (%)	40 mm	52.0	-
22	-	20 mm	42.0	-
23		10 mm	45.0	-
23		Fine aggregate	47.0	
24	Lungest Value (%)	12.5.10mm	16.0	<30%
25	Impact value (76)	12.5-10	10.0	< 30%
26	Crushing Value	12.5-10 mm	18.2	< 20%
27	Abrasion value	40 mm	21.9	< 3070
	(%)	20 mm	20.6	
		10 mm	22.6	
		10 mm	22.0	

Alkali Aggregate Reactivity of Aggregate -

Sl. No.	Type of Sample	Unit	ResultsSoluble SilicaReduction in Alkalinity		Conformity as per IS 2386:1963 (Part -7) Reaffirmed 2021
1	Coarse Aggregate	Millimoles/ Litre	15	8	Innocuous Aggregate
2	Fine Aggregate	Millimoles/ Litre	2	36	Innocuous Aggregate

Sachin kumar (Sachin Kumar) Model Assistant

(Priya Semwal) Research Supervisor

(Vinod Kumar) Research Supervisor